

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATÉ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/045,958	10/24/2001	Jion-Iou Hong	3158/0J954	4878
75	90 02/11/2004		EXAM	INER
DARBY & DARBY P.C. 805 Third Avenue			ANYASO. UCHENDU O	
New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	_
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	, –>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/045,958	HONG, JION-IOU				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Uchendu O Anyaso	2675				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aportion or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 sIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 C</u>	October 2003.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)[	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) \( \begin{array}{c} \times \\ \t	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first of CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language processing the company of the company	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate the prity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(are sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1200 priority under 3	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. d) and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) 🛛 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/045,958 Page 2

Art Unit: 2675

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-6 are pending in this action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harshbarger et al (U.S. Patent 4,670,782).

Regarding **independent claims 1** and **6**, Harshbarger teaches an invention that provides a video pattern generator comprising a keyboard means for individually entering data values representing the incremental pulse width and timing parameters for all the scan rate pulses comprising a raster scan structure wherein a <u>sync generator</u> means is provided coupled to the keyboard means <u>for generating a time based scan rate composed of pulse elements having the selected pulse widths and timing values</u> (column 5, lines 34-42).

Furthermore, Harshbarger teaches a synchronization activator generating a first signal by teaching sync generator 42 (figure 1 at 42).

Also, Harshbarger teaches a pattern selector generating a second signal identifying one of the patterns by teaching pattern select switch and pattern generator 43 (figure 1 at 24, 43).

Furthermore, Harshbarger teaches a controller by means of CPU 32 that is connected to signal generators 38, 40 and simultaneously activating these horizontal and vertical signal

;

Application/Control Number: 10/045,958

Art Unit: 2675

generators that drive the system to display the patterns identified by pattern select switch 24 (see column 8, lines 56 through column 9, lines 1-20, figures 1A, 1B).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, in further discussion of claim 1, Harshbarger teaches how the microprocessor 48 and keypad 16 are BCD devices (figure 1, 5 at 16, 48).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harshbarger et al (U.S. Patent 4,670,782) in view of Estes (U.S. Patent 4,093,960).

Regarding **claims 4** and **5**, in further discussion of claim 1, Harshbarger does not teach power in the display system. However, Estes teaches a test signal generating system comprising a power switch 31 that is utilized to control the application of power to the system (figure 1, 15-20 at 31, column 17, lines 24-28).

Thus, it is would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art as to combine Harshbarger and Estes because while Harshbarger teaches a synchronization activator generating a first signal by teaching sync generator 42 (figure 1 at 42) and a pattern selector generating a second signal identifying one of the patterns by teaching pattern select switch and pattern generator 43 (figure 1 at 24, 43), Estes teaches a test signal generating system

Application/Control Number: 10/045,958 Page 4

Art Unit: 2675

comprising a power switch 31 that is utilized to control the application of power to the system (figure 1, 15-20 at 31, column 17, lines 24-28). The motivation for doing so would have been to supply the needed potential to the system that would enable the operation of the system.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to all of applicant's arguments, please see rejection above.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent 5,872,592 to Manea et al for test signals and test signal generators.

JP Patent 405328409 to Maeda for a television camera adjustment system.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uchendu O. Anyaso whose telephone number is (703) 306-5934. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Saras, can be reached at (703) 305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 10/045,958

Art Unit: 2675

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Uchendu O. Anyaso

01/24/2004

CHANH NGUYEN